| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | 09/648,248 | KATO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Elvis O. Price | 1621 |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicatio | n: |
| (1) Elvis O. Price. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Steve Nash</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 May 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | ☐ Applicant's representative) No | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: 1,6,7-11,13 and 15 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH The Examiner suggested amending the claims so as to amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance | of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (| Applicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |